

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38649-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.08.2022**

Rafikan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to take action against respondent Nos.5 & 6 by considering the representations dated 14.07.2022 and 20.07.2022 (Annexures P-2 & P-3).

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the private respondents have been harassing the petitioner and on 10.07.2022 at about 10.30 a.m., these people came to her village and pressurized her for compromising the matter in case bearing FIR No.333 dated 03.09.2018 and took away 15 grams gold hansali from her and also threatened her. She further submitted that the representation was given to the SHO, Police Station Sadar Tauru, District Nuh vide Annexure P-2 and also to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh vide Annexure P-3, but no action has been taken in this regard.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner has filed the present petition seeking to invoke extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for seeking directions to the official respondents to consider the representations (Annexures P-2 & P-3). However, a perusal of the Paper-book and the representations would show that there is nothing to suggest that as to by what mode the said representations were given. There is neither any diary number nor any registration number nor any email nor any courier mode nor any other mode whatsoever to suggest that representation were actually given vide Annexures P-2 & P-3.

Therefore, the prayer of the petitioner for seeking extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court is not maintainable. Apart from the same, the grievance of the petitioner was that the private respondents have been pressurising her to compromise the matter in case bearing FIR No.333 dated 03.09.2018 and they had taken 15 grams gold hansali from her. In case, the petitioner is aggrieved by any illegal action on the part of the private respondents to the extent that they have committed some offence then the remedy of the petitioner would be to make a complaint before the learned Illaqa Magistrate in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others", 2008(1) RCR (Criminal) 392.

In view of the aforesaid position, there is no merit in the present case and the same is hereby dismissed.

30.08.2022

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |