

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRM-M-37989-2022

Date of Decision: August 26, 2022

Ramesh Sanka

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate and
Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved by the direction given by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram directing the accused to give photocopy of his passport and also because of the unnecessarily harassment meted out by the complainant, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

As far as handing over of photocopy of passport is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the interregnum, the same has been handed over to the concerned Court.

Regarding other part, needless to say that the complainant can seek enforcement of only condition as envisaged in the bail order and nothing beyond that.

With the aforementioned observation, the petition is disposed of .

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 26, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No