

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA No.956 of 2022

Date of decision: 18.10.2022

Mamta

..... Petitioner

versus

Pankaj Bansal

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. R. S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (ORAL)

Parties are *ad idem* that the matter has already been amicably settled vide settlement/agreement dated 28.09.2022 before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that in terms of para No.5, clause 3 of the settlement/agreement, the total amount of Rs.10,00,000/- is to be paid in two installments. He has handed over a demand draft of Rs.2,00,000/- today, photocopy of which is taken on record. The second installment will be paid to the petitioner by way of demand draft either on the date of second motion under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act or the date when the final decree is passed in case of waiver of the statutory period.

The parties are *ad idem* that they will be bound by the other terms and conditions of the settlement/agreement with regard to withdrawal of the other pending cases.

In view of the above, the main petition is rendered infructuous.

The parties will be bound by the settlement/agreement.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

18.10.2022
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No