

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 207)

CRM-M No.41747 of 2021

Date of decision : 10.03.2022

Baljinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0043 dated 20.08.2021
registered under Sections 498-A IPC at Police Station Kotli Surat Mallian,
district Batala.

On 05.10.2021, this Court had passed the following order : -

*“Counsel for the petitioner herein prays for grant
of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under Section 498-A
of Indian Penal Code.*

*It is contended that the matter was in fact
investigated and as per the conclusion report, it has come
on the record that the differences between the parties
arose only due to petty issues. Counsel for the petitioner
would state that the complainant in fact wanted to
solemnize a marriage to someone else and was constantly
talking on mobile phone with that person. It is submitted*

that the petitioner herein is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 10.03.2022.

At this stage, Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG Punjab, who is appearing through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on his doing so, in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 05.10.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

10.03.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No