

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

212

CWP-2828-2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 15.09.2022

MOHAN CHANDER PRUTHI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mandeep Sindhu, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of this petition, the petitioner has retired. Despite the directions of this Court issued on 09.05.2017 for taking a decision in respect of the petitioner's promotion, no action has been taken by the respondents. He further submits that the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion in a time bound manner with effect from the date his juniors had been promoted.

Without making any observations on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion in terms of the instructions/rules within a period of four months from now.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.09.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No