

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(133)

CWP-20892-2022

Date of Decision : September 14, 2022

Dharambir Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was granted promotion to the post of Tehsil Revenue Accountant from 05.01.2015 but the petitioner was not given an increment on the said promotion, which benefit was also not extended to some other similarly situated employee as well. The said action of the respondents was challenged by a similarly situated employee namely Sukhbir Singh before this Court in CWP No.11128 of 2016 and this Court vide order dated 11.09.2018 held the said employee entitled for the grant of increment, which judgment has already been implemented qua the said petitioner Sukhbir Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in ***CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 21.03.2002***, once the question of law has been settled, the same needs to be implemented qua

all the similarly situated without forcing them to approach this Court but the petitioner has not been extended the said benefit, which has already been extended to similarly situated employee namely Sukhbir Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 09.08.2019 (Annexure P-4), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 09.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 09.08.2019 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 09.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

September 14, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No