

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-18943-2022

Date of Decision :26.08.2022

Sulochana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was entitled for regularization of her services in view of the policy issued by the respondent-State of Haryana on 01.02.1999 (Annexure P/2) and subsequent policies dated 17.10.2002 and 29.07.2011 (Annexures P/3 and P/4) respectively. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was never regularised and she attained the age of superannuation after rendering 26 years of service with the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Prem Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others in Civil Appeal No.6798-2019** decided on 02.09.2019 if an employee worked with the department for over two decades, he/she is entitled for pensionary benefits even if, his/her services are not regularised, hence, the petitioner is also entitled for the said benefit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 25.05.2022 (Annexure P/8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 25.05.2022 (Annexure P/8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 25.05.2022 (Annexure P/8) by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed off.

August 26, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No