

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-18578-2022

Date of decision : 23.08.2022

Preeti Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, who had applied for the post of Assistant Professor in the Department of Law, had challenged the advertisement for the said posts on the ground of non-disclosure of the marks obtained by the candidates in computer based test and the successful candidates have been called for the interviews.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents had taken an online test for filling up the posts of Assistant Professor but the result had not been published. The interviews are to take place tomorrow and day after but the result is not known to the candidates.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1/University and submits that the result has been individually sent to all the candidates and the interviews are not scheduled for tomorrow.

Heard.

I am of the considered view that there is merit in the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner as it was enjoined on the respondents to

declare the result which would be known to the public at large and only thereafter they should go ahead with the interviews.

The petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.1/University to immediately declare the result and display the same on the website as well as on the notice board of the University and only thereafter, the interviews shall be conducted.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 23, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No