

**230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP-7995-2022**

Date of Decision: 15th September, 2022

Suneha Kumari and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. K.S. Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents (arrayed in the petition).

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 3rd September, 2022. The relevant para No.6 is reproduced below:-

“That, it is humbly submitted that at present there is no threat perception to the petitioners from anyone. However, SHO, Police Station City Batala has been given directions to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners as and when they approach him. Added there to, SHO has been further directed to take preventive action against the private respondents, if so required.”

4. In view of the reply filed, no further directions are called for.

5. The petition is dismissed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

15th September, 2022

Parveen Sharma