

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**143**

**CWP-19997-2022 (O&M).  
Date of Decision: September 05, 2022.**

**Garinder Singh and others**

... Petitioners

Versus

**State of Punjab and others**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents to not register the sale deeds pertaining to the land in the name of M/s Kim Infrastructure & Developers Limited.

It has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the said Company had defrauded large number of investors including the petitioners and FIR No.0062 dated 21.04.2021, has already been registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, for the commission of offence under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC. It has also been contended that as per the recovery certificate 1804 of 2018 (Annexure P-5) issued by the Security and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), the authorities

have already been directed not to register any sale deed pertaining to the land held by M/s Kim Infrastructure & Developers Limited and the Directors thereof.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, appears on behalf of respondent – State of Punjab and makes a statement, on instructions from Mr. Harpreet Singh, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar, that appropriate instructions in this regard have already been issued to the Sub-Registrar to not register any sale deed pertaining to the land of M/s Kim Infrastructure & Developers Limited as well as the directors mentioned therein as per the directions so specified in the Recovery Certificate No.1804 of 2018 issued by the Security and Exchange Board of India. The statement made at Bar by the learned counsel representing the State is accepted.

In view of aforesaid, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners does not press the instant petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed, at this stage.

Needless to mention here that the State shall remain bound by its statement. In the event of any breach thereof, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition.

**September 05, 2022**  
**raj arora**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No