

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26305-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 23, 2022

Naib Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the writ proceedings, petitioner has been since re-inducted in service, and therefore, writ petition is rendered partially infructuous. He submits that his grievance *qua* back-wages for the period he remained out of service has not been redressed while taking him back in service. He seeks liberty to file representation with the competent authority so as to pursue his cause on the administrative side.

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly, with liberty as aforesaid.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 23, 2022

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No