

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2448-2020

Date of Decision : 19.04.2022

Ritu

...Petitioner

Versus

Ankur Gupta

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. MS Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.10.2019 in CWP-30903-2019.

2. CWP-30903-2019 was disposed of vide order Annexure P-1 dated 24.10.2019, by directing respondent No.2 herein to decide representation dated 04.12.2017 and 26.02.2018, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order with costs Rs.25,000/- being payable to the petitioner in case of non decision of the representation within the stipulated period of time, and in case of acceptance of the claim of the petitioner, consequential benefits, if any, to be released to her in accordance with law.

3. Notice has not yet been issued in the instant case.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has produced copy of order dated 18.04.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner along with

draft of Rs.25,000/- dated 19.04.2022 in the name of the petitioner in terms of order dated 24.10.2019 in CWP-30903-2019, on account of failure to decide the representation within the stipulated period of time. The reply is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner along with draft No.828140 dated 19.04.2022 for a sum of Rs.25,000/- for being handed over to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 18.04.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.04.2022
rajesh/gsv

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>