

Vedpal

...Petitioner

versus

Virendra Dahiya

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 11.11.2021 inCWP-22838-2021.

2. On the last date of hearing, learned DAG had contended that speaking order dated 31.05.2022 had been passed rejecting the claim of the petitioner and that the same had been dispatched to the petitioner on 08.06.2022.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the petitioner denied receipt of the same and prayed for award of costs for being compelled to file the instant petition to secure compliance of order Annexure P-1 dated 11.11.2021.

4. Learned DAG contends that the respondent has not received back the order dispatched to the petitioner, therefore, the same is deemed to have been served on the petitioner.

the petitioner states that since he has been furnished a copy of order dated 31.05.2022 by the learned DAG on the previous date, in the circumstances, he has instructions from the petitioner to state that he does not press the instant petition but prays for granted liberty to challenge order dated 31.05.2022.

6. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

7. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.09.2022
ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>