

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18648-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.08.2022**

Dr. Rajat

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents not to limit the period of appointment of the petitioner on the post of Veterinary Surgeon in Animal Husbandry & Dairying department of Haryana and for directing the respondents not to replace the petitioner with another contractual employee.

2. While I am in agreement with the submission of the learned State counsel that when on expiry of the contract the same is not renewed, there is no vested right in a contractee to compel the contractor to continue with the services, unless of course, there is any contemplation to sign a new contract with a substituted contractee.

3. In the premise, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents that subject to exigencies of work, if on expiry of the contract of the petitioner there is any contemplation to employ another person on the post in question by way of another contract, the petitioner's services

shall not be substituted by another contractual employee. Needless to say, that the order passed shall not preclude the respondents to take steps to appoint regular employee. It is made clear that passing of this order shall not be construed in any manner to mean that in case services of the petitioner are found to be not satisfactory, he shall still be allowed to get the extension of contract.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

August 24, 2022
ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No