

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 5026 of 2019

Date of Decision: 02.05.2022

Somnath and Others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Indro Devi and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. K.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tarun Dhingra, Advocate
for the respondent No.1.

Mr. K.B.Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondent No.2 and 3.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. After relying upon the report of the Collector, the trial Court has permitted the plaintiff (respondent No.1 herein) to sue as an indigent person (*forma pauperis*). As per the report of the Collector, the plaintiff does not have any property in her name, except a mud house.

2. This revision petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the trial Court permitting the plaintiff to file a suit as an indigent person. The learned counsel representing the petitioners contends that the plaintiff is employed in H.Memorial Public School at village Mathana, District Kurukshetra. Such assertion is only a bald assertion. Per contra, the learned counsel representing the plaintiff contends that she is an illiterate

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

May 02, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No