

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(131)

CWP-18739-2022

Date of Decision : August 25, 2022

Aruna

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner is being made to participate in the transfer drive compulsorily despite the fact that he has not completed five years at the present place of posting, which is contrary to the policy which is being implemented for effecting the transfer.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the petitioner has any grievance qua the said fact, he may approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation and in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided within a

period of seven days of the receipt of the same and in case, the grievance is found genuine, the same will be rectified.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present writ petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

August 25, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No