

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.37084 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.04.2022

Surinder Ahuja

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2/complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The situation was not different even on the last date of hearing.

On 31.03.2021, an objection was raised by counsel for the complainant that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands dismissed by this Court and therefore, this petition is not maintainable. It is further submitted that a period of 01 year has lapsed, however, he has not surrendered.

It is further submitted that since the case is still at the investigation stage, the petition for quashing of the FIR is pre-mature, in view of the judgment of *“Neeharika Infrastructure Private Limited vs State of Maharashtra and others”*, 2021 SCC (Online) SC 315.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

29.04.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No