

107.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18625-2022

Date of Decision: 24.08.2022

SANDEEP SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein is seeking appointment to the post of Constable in District Cadre, Sangrur, against 2% quota reserved for the wards of police personnel, advertised in the year, 2011.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has approached the department number of times and served representations/legal notices, however, there is no response till date. He would pray that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his legal notice (reminder) dated 30.06.2021, Annexure P-14, is decided, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Deepali Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and would submit that the respondents will look into the matter and will take

a decision on the legal notice of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated dated 30.06.2021, Annexure P-14, of the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

24.08.2022
sanjeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No