

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.131**

**CWP No.18544 of 2022**

**Date of Decision: 23.08.2022**

Punam Rani and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after filing of the petition, the petitioners have been allowed to participate in the transfer drive for the year 2022-23 and therefore, the petitioners' grievance on the said account stands satisfied but petitioner No.3 has not been able to opt for the district other than Karnal, which is a technical problem.

Learned counsel submits that petitioner No.3 has already moved a representation through e-mail on 14/15.08.2022 to the competent authority informing the said discrepancy and the petitioner No.3 will be satisfied at this stage in case, respondent No.3 is directed to look into the said aspect of the grievance.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case, petitioner No.3 has any grievance which is based upon technical difficulties, if approached, respondent No.3 will look into the said aspect and will redress the grievance of petitioner No.3 within a period of 7 days from the receipt of any such grievance from her.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the aforesaid statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

23.08.2022  
Maninder

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |