

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37401-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.08.2022

Pushkar @ Khali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Jitender Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. AG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the petition is for grant of interim bail to the petitioner for a period of two weeks i.e. from 18.08.2022 to 01.09.2022, in case bearing FIR No. 109 dated 19.06.2019, registered under Sections 302, 212 IPC read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad, to perform the last rites of his father, who died on 16.08.2022.

Status report by way of affidavit dated 24.08.2022 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, filed by the learned State counsel in the Court is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an undertrial in the FIR in question; that the father of the petitioner died on 16.08.2022 and he could not attend the cremation of his father; that the

Rasam Pagri of the father of the petitioner is to be performed on 27.08.2022 and the petitioner wants to be there on the said date; that the learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will not directly or indirectly make any inducement or threat to any person acquainted with the facts of the case and that as far as other cases against the petitioner are concerned, he stands acquitted in most of the cases and in other cases, he is on bail and thus, the learned counsel for the petitioner prays to grant the concession of interim bail to the petitioner enabling him to attend the last rituals of his father.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is the main accused in the present case and apart from this, he is involved in 14 other cases, including two cases under Section 307 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act and in case, interim bail is granted to the petitioner, there are chances that he may again indulge himself in similar type of serious offences.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the paper-book.

The petitioner is directly involved in the murder of Ashok Kumar. Thus, keeping in view the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, the release of the petitioner on interim bail may cause harm to the peace of the society. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground to grant interim bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

25.08.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No