

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-41534 of 2021
Date of decision:04.08.2022

Tejinder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.155 dated 17.08.2021 registered under Sections 376(2)(n), 323, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Women Police Station, Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1).

On 04.10.2021, this Court passed the following order:-

“Counsel for the petitioner herein prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by contending that has been falsely implication in the present case. It is contended that complainant herein is habitual of filing false complaint against others and withdraw the same after extorting money.

Notice of motion for 08.03.2022.

At this stage, Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG Haryana, who is present in the court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on his doing so, in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Radaur (Yamuna Nagar) has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, which is taken on record. Copy has been supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

By making a reference to para 7 of the status report, counsel for the petitioner submits that allegation of preparing a video by the petitioner, could not be proved.

Upon instructions from ASI Kamla, State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation

In view of the above facts, but without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is allowed and the order dated

04.10.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

August 04, 2022

savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>