

COCP-1790-2022

Date of Decision :26.08.2022

Manish Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Anil Malik, IAS and another

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for Mr. Parveen
Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 30.05.2022 in CWP-11993-2022

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 30.05.2022 reveals that CWP-11993-2022 was disposed of by directing the respondent to look into representation dated 14.04.2022 filed by the petitioner and to pass an administrative order in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

3. Although notice has not been issued in the instant petition, learned State counsel has produced copy of speaking order dated 26.08.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as raised in representation dated 14.04.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the

appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

26.08.2022
ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>