

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21777 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.03.2022**

Ananya Malhotra and another Petitioners

Versus

Central Information Commission and another
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. V.M. Gupta, Advocate the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1

Mr.Shubhnit Hans, Advocate for
Mr.Shoumendu Mukherji, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to dispose of the appeal filed under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioners, submits that with the afflux of time nothing survives in the petition and the same be disposed off as such.

Ordered accordingly.

However, it is clarified that question of law to the effect as to “whether respondent No.2, i.e., Council for the Indian School Certificate

Examinations, New Delhi, is a public authority within the meaning of Section 2 (h) of the Right to Information Act, 2005”, shall remain open and be considered in an appropriate case, if the occasion so arises?

30.03.2022
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>