

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

CWP-19922 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.07.2022

Sri Hans Enterprises

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate for respondent No.5.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the order of blacklisting passed by respondent No.2 was for only a period of five years on 03.01.2017, and as on date since the said period of five years has expired, the writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of the submission of counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE**

20.07.2022

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No