

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

151

**CWP no. 18331 of 2022
Date of Decision: 22.08.2022**

Suman Bala alias Suman Bakshi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order dated 31.01.2008, has been passed by the Under Secretary, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the higher authority of the respondent Nigam to convince them that the claim of the petitioner is similar to the other employees, who have already been extended the benefit as has been sought by them, keeping in view the benefit extended to the similarly situated employees in terms of the order passed by the Division Bench in CWP no.4074 of 2008, decided on 29.08.2008 titled as **Raj Kumari vs. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.and others.**

Ordered accordingly.

August 22, 2022

dharamvir

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No