

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 3434 of 2022

Date of Decision: 26.08.2022

Suneet Tayal

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Rajneesh Chauhan

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Priyanka Kansal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. This revision petition has been filed while questioning the correctness of the order passed by the Executing Court on 01.08.2022 vide which the case was adjourned to 16.08.2022 for remaining arguments on an application under Order XXI Rule 97 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

2. The learned senior counsel submits that the Executing Court is not proceeding with the matter in view of the pendency of Civil Revision No. 1393 of 2020 which has nothing to do with the dispute before the Executing Court.

3. This Court has considered the submissions of the learned senior counsel representing the petitioner.

4. Let the petitioner, at the first instance, file an application before the Executing Court in this regard. If such an application is filed, the Executing Court is directed to decide the case within a period of one week,

thereafter.

5. With the observations made above, the present revision petition is disposed of .

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

August 26, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No