

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-37535-2022
Decided on : 29.08.2022**

Hari Parsad

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking concession of bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 154, dated 29.04.2021, under Sections 17, 18/25-61-85 of NDPS Act, 1985, Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier petitioner was prosecuted for some recovery under the NDPS Act in case FIR No.8 of 2011, but was acquitted later on. In another case FIR No.365, dated 12.08.2019, lodged under Sections 148, 149, 380, 427, 447, 452, 506 of IPC, petitioner is on bail.

In the present case, though recovery shows against the petitioner is 5.25 Kg. of opium, but he is inside jail since 29th April 2021, and pace of the trial is very slow.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, if a direction is issued to the trial Court to expedite trial at the earliest, he would not press the present petition.

In view of the aforementioned facts that in one case under the NDPS Act, petitioner has already been acquitted and in another case i.e. FIR No.365, dated 12.08.2019, he has been already released on bail, therefore, considering the liberty of a person, it would be appropriate to accept the request of learned counsel for the petitioner and accordingly, to direct the trial Court to speed up the trial and if possible, conclude the same expeditiously, preferably, within a period of eight months.

Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

August 29, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*