

**219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH  
CRM-M-37040-2022  
Date of Decision: 12<sup>th</sup> October, 2022**

Madan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present : Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)**

1. Petitioner is seeking regular bail in case of FIR No. 9, dated 1<sup>st</sup> June, 2022, under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and Section 384 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau, District Hisar.

2. As per the case set up, petitioner along with Pardeep Kumar is running a seed factory in the name of Krishak Seeds. Madan Lal (petitioner) inspected the seed factory and demanded bribe of Rs. 2,00,000/-. On 30<sup>th</sup> May, 2022, he demanded Rs.40,000/- in the office of Market Committee. There is an audio recording supporting the allegations. On complaint, a trap was laid and petitioner was caught red handed.

3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since June, 2022, investigation is complete and charges have been framed. Petitioner volunteers to give his voice sample, if so required.

4. Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2022, same is taken on record. She opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that his voice sample is yet to be taken. She on instructions

charges have been framed.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, having conspectus of the facts, though the investigation is complete conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned and giving his voice sample as volunteered by him.

6. The petition is allowed.

7. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE

12<sup>th</sup> October, 2022

*Parveen Sharma*

Whether reasoned/speaking  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No