

[217] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2168-2021

Date of Decision : 28.02.2022

Karan Gera

...Petitioner

versus

D.K. Bhera and others

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Bishnoi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 12.08.2021 in CWP No.15368 of 2021.

[3] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 12.08.2021 reveals that CWP No.15368 of 2021 was disposed by directing the Secretary, Municipal Committee, Ratia, District Fatehabad to look into representations submitted by the petitioner and to take action in respect thereto in accordance with law within 06 weeks. It was further ordered that if salary had not been paid to the petitioner and there was no legal impediment for release of the same, then, necessary payment be made to

the petitioner at the earliest.

[4] Today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Municipal Committee, Ratia, has produced copy of order dated 15.09.2021, deciding the representation of the petitioner as also his claim for payment of salary for the period November, 2020 till November 2021 besides, disclosing to the petitioner of his having been recruited on DC rates and not on outsourcing basis. Learned Counsel states that in the circumstances, order dated 12.08.2021 in CWP No.15368 of 2021 having been complied with, the instant petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] Learned counsel for the petitioner also states that in view of orders dated 12.08.2021 in CWP No.15368 of 2021 having been complied with, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

[7] I have considered the submission of learned counsel for the parties.

[8] Admittedly, orders have been passed by respondent No.4, deciding representation submitted by the petitioner and informing him of his employment having been made on DC rates and not through outsourcing and also releasing the salary due to the petitioner w.e.f. November 2020 till November 2021. Accordingly, in view of orders dated 12.08.2021 in CWP No.15368 of 2021 having been complied with, as well as in view of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no

respondents.

[9] Contempt petition is *disposed of* as such.

[10] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

28.02.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>