

Amit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Ajit Bala Ji Joshi and anr.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.
*****B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 31.01.2020 in CWP No. 2678 of 2020 in case titled as Dr. Lalita Sharma and others vs. State of Haryana and others.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.2678 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the respondents to allow the petitioner(s) to work till regular appointments were made besides the respondents were restrained from replacing the petitioner(s) on the basis of transfer of regular employees but in case, a new regular candidate joined, the petitioner(s) could be relieved as per judgment passed in Menka and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 05.05.2016. Claim of the petitioner(s) for retention was also ordered to be considered by the respondents as per guidelines dated 13.07.2018.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to

therefore, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.05.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>