

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31974 of 2019 (O & M)

Date of Decision:-20.1.2022

Jyoti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

CRM-23569-2019

This is an application of preponement of the main case.

As the main case is already fixed for today, so the present
application is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

CRM-M-31974-2019

This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the
petitioner in case FIR No.192 dated 4.7.2019, under Sections 420, 465, 467,

468, 471, 201 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

The coordinate Bench of this Court passed the following order on 12.2.2020 :-

“The instant Petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking Regular Bail in case FIR No.192, dated 04.07.2019, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 201, 120-B IPC at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

The only incriminating aspect against the petitioner – Jyoti happens to be that a sum of Rs.7.5 lacs had been deposited in her account by the complainant, and that she issued a cheque bearing No. 719717 dated 28.08.2018 to make such repayment, which was, however, dishonored.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that apart from this, there is no active role attributed to the petitioner except the said account in the entire FIR and, if given an opportunity to the petitioner by her temporary released on bail, she will return this amount to the complainant within one month thereafter.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, at this stage the petitioner may be released on interim bail till the next date of hearing to the satisfaction of the trial Court which may impose any other condition as it might deem appropriate in the circumstances.

It is further directed that in case payment of Rs.7.5 lacs is not made to the complainant within 30 days from the actual release, the petitioner herself will surrender before the trial Court. Put up again on 20.03.2020 .”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already complied with the said order and deposited a sum of Rs.7.5 lacs, which has already been handed over to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the State has expressed his ignorance about the said fact.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of complainant conceded the fact that a sum of Rs.7.5 lacs has been paid by the petitioner to the complainant and he raises no objection for allowing the prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is already on interim bail and has complied with the conditions imposed by coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.2.2020, the interim bail granted to the petitioner stands confirmed.

January 20, 2022
Vijay Asija

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No