

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24812-2016

Date of decision: 16.12.2022

NAVNINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. P. S. Jammu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner herein had applied for the post of Constable in District Police Cadre under BC category. The last selected candidates under BC category in District Police Cadre had obtained 28 marks. Though the petitioner had also obtained 28 marks, he could not be offered appointment being junior in age, in view of Clause 6 of Standing Order No.1/2016 issued by the Director General of Police, Punjab on the subject of recruitment of Constable (Male/Female) in District Police Cadre and Armed Police Cadre Police, which provides that while preparing a combined final merit list, in the event of two candidates securing equal marks, a candidate senior in age shall be accorded preference. The date of birth of the petitioner herein is 16.01.1996 and the date of birth of last selected candidate in District Police Cadre is 15.12.1992, thus, concededly he is elder in age.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the instant writ petition stands dismissed.

However, if anything still survives in the writ petition, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court for redressal of his grievance.

**16.12.2022**  
Chetan Thakur

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No