

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 32090 of 2019 (O&M)
Decided on: 29.03.2022**

Ripudaman Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. S.K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shamsheer Singh Gill, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No. 90 dated 30.06.2019, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC at
Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in
pursuance to the order dated 10.09.2019, the petitioners have appeared
before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Balbir
Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the
petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

However, counsel for the complainant has argued that
despite having sold the land to some other person, the petitioners have
entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant and on the basis

of the subsequent agreements for extension of time, he has paid another amount.

Be whatsoever, on the fact of it, the petitioners are on interim bail for the last about 2½ years and there is no complaint that they have either misused the concession of bail or have tried to influence the prosecution witnesses or have failed to appear before the trial Court, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 10.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

29.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No