

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

215

CWP-26352-2014 (O & M)

Date of Decision: May 19, 2022

RAM KARAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

**HARYANA STAFF SELECTION
COMMISSION AND ORS**

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Dr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought quashing of the communication dated 22.07.2014 (Annexure P-13) whereby the offer of appointment as Assistant Accountant was cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had been duly selected after the written examination and offer of appointment has been arbitrarily withdrawn on the ground that the petitioner did not have the requisite experience. The petitioner had earlier worked in several organizations and had the requisite experience for appointment as Assistant Accountant.

Learned counsel for the respondents while referring to the reply filed by the respondents submits that the petitioner had submitted experience certificate from various organizations which upon inquiry were found to be fake. He has referred to Annexures R-6 and R-7 whereby the District Manager after making physical inquiry at the place where the petitioner is stated to have worked had submitted that the factum of the petitioner having worked in those organizations could not be established.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 12.07.2019 had directed the counsel for the petitioner to place on record evidence duly supported by documents to show that the petitioner was getting salary of ₹13,200/- as mentioned in the experience certificate dated 12.09.2017 (Annexure P-10).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has not been able to get the documents due to the petitioner being unreachable. He has prayed for more time to seek instructions. The direction of the Coordinate Bench was passed almost three years back and several opportunities had been granted to the petitioner to comply with the order. Therefore, no further adjournment can be granted in the instant case.

In view of the petitioner's experience certificate being found to be fake, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order cancelling the offer of appointment.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No