

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**111**

**CRWP-7824-2022  
Date of decision :18.08.2022**

**Rajdeep Singh and another**

**.....Petitioners**

**VERSUS**

**State of Punjab & Others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Petitioner No.1 – Rajdeep Singh, aged 29 years and petitioner No.2 – Manpreet Kaur, aged 23 years, have got married on 16.08.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

3. In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, Punjab (respondent No.2), wherein, all the apprehension to their lives has been expressed.

4. Notice of motion.
5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Mansa, Punjab, to look into the representation dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

August 18, 2022  
anil

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

|                                    |               |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned :</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>          | <i>Yes/No</i> |