

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CRWP-9343-2021
Decided on : 30.03.2022

Jagwinder Kaur

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. K. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate,
Mr. Gaurav Singh Rana, Advocate and
Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate order or directions to the officials respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioner and not to harass the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is not an accused in any FIR and on the basis of the complaints filed by respondents No. 6 to 8, the petitioner had been called to the Office of the DSP without even giving 7 days' written notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. It is further submitted that the dispute

in question is a civil dispute. He has further submitted that he would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to comply with the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition but has submitted that due procedure in accordance with law would be followed in the case of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 6 has also opposed the present petition and has submitted that they have filed complaints on the ground that the money is due from the petitioner and her husband which, as per the case of respondent No. 6, they had taken as investment in committees from respondent No. 6.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No. 1 to 5 to give 7 days' advance notice in writing under Section 160 Cr.P.C. before calling the petitioner with respect to any enquiry on a complaint given by respondents No. 6 to 8.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 30th, 2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No