

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36715-2022

Date of Decision: August 22, 2022

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to decide his representation dated 01.06.2022 (Annexure P-7) submitted by post to the authorities mentioned in the representation, the receipt of which is in possession of the petitioner.

Since there is no proof of the receipt of the representation on file, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will submit a fresh representation to respondent No.4.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner, the same may be considered and decided, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, in case the petitioner submits a representation to respondent No.4, the same be considered and a decision be taken thereon expeditiously, in accordance with law.

August 22, 2022

sarita

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No