

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(101)

CWP No. 19293 of 2018

Date of Decision : 21.09.2022

Surinder and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sukhvir Singh Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioners were fully eligible for appointments in pursuance to the Advertisement No. 2 of 2012, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-6 by which, primary teachers posts were advertised. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been declined the appointments despite the fact that they cleared their HTET. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 4 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant

Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 4 is directed to decide the representation dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-8), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

September 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	✓ Yes/No
Whether reportable?	✓ Yes/No