

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M 36564-2022

Date of Decision: 22.8.2022

Gurdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr.Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.G.S.Sandhu, DAG, Punjab

...

The petitioner has approached this Court by filing this petition under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to him in FIR No.197 dated 28.7.2022 under Sections 307, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Jandiala, Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that present case has been lodged falsely against the petitioner. The petitioner is not involved in the present occurrence as he was not present at the spot and has not fired any shot.

On the contrary, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR and specific role has been attributed to him.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that the petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR. There is specific role attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner fired shot with pistol and pellets hit on complainant's left hip (abdomen) and on right shoulder. Investigation is still going on and, therefore, custodial

interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for finding out the modus operandi of commission of offence and for recovery of the weapon. In view of seriousness of allegations and gravity of offence, I find that this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The petition is dismissed.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

22.8.2022
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No