

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 8th September, 2022

CRM-M-34162-2022

Amit Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CRM-M-36570-2022

Manu MalikPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate and
Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

These two petitions are filed seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case of FIR No. 211 dated 22.5.2022 under Sections 409, 420 IPC, 1860 (Section 120-B IPC and Section 7/7-A/10/13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (for short 'the PC Act') was added later on) registered at P.S. Hathin (Section 120-B wrongly mentioned as 12-B in the Trial Court order dated 20.7.2022) District Palwal.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from Inspector Satyawar submits that as on date approval under Section 17-A of the PC Act has not been received.

In view of the stand taken as on date, there is no apprehension of arrest of the petitioners, as no enquiry/inquiry can be conducted without approval.

Petitions are disposed of.

In case, the custody of the petitioners are required after the receipt of approval, State shall give four days advance notice to the petitioners.

A photocopy of the order be placed on the file of connected cases.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

8th September, 2022
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No