

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36625-2022

Date of decision : 06.12.2022

Mukhtiar Singh @ Mukhi @ Mukhthiar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Aseem Kanshal, Advocate for
Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.98 dated 25.05.2022 registered under Sections 307, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

On 01.09.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that in the present case the matter has been compromised and in the compromise deed, Rajwinder Singh has stated that the parties have no grudges left against each other. It is further submitted that the complainant was discharged from the hospital on the

very next date of being admitted and is in a fit condition and the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr.Yaseen Sethi, Advocate has appeared for the complainant and has stated that the compromise is genuine and is entered into without any under inducement or coercion.

Adjourned to 03.11.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting / Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 01.09.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mukhtiar Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also reiterated the facts as stated by learned counsel for the petitioner and he has no objection, in case, the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the

petitioner is allowed.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 01.09.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.09.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

06.12.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No