

Satish and another

...Petitioners

versus

Dr. Sakat and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Baani Chhibber Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 07.10.2021, in CWP-20493-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 07.10.2021, reveals that CWP-20493-2021, was disposed of by directing the competent authority to consider and decide legal notice dated 27.01.2021, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and in case the petitioners were found entitled to compensation, to release the same to the petitioners within six weeks thereafter.

3. Short reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents along with Annexures R/1 to R/4. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that although claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice dated 27.01.2021, has been decided vide Annexure R/3 dated

10.09.2022 and payment as per entitlement determined therein released to the petitioners, payment as claimed has not been released, therefore while the petitioners do not press the instant petition but pray for grant of liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to seek payment as claimed in the legal notice.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.09.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No