

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(208)

CWP-24236-2016

Date of Decision : November 10, 2022

Naveen and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Devender S. Punia, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the termination of the services of the petitioners on the ground that the work and conduct of the petitioners was bad, is incorrect and is not supported by the documents on record but as the petitioners have already settled in life after the filing of the present petition and already working in a Government institution, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further but with liberty to the petitioners that in case, they want compensation for their wrongful termination, they can avail appropriate remedy against respondent No.3 by initiating appropriate proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that in case any of the allegation is being sought to be invoked during the subsequent employment and the petitioners feel aggrieved, the petitioners be given liberty to agitate the same also.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

November 10, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No