

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-18932-2018

Date of decision : 17.05.2022

Chandigarh Administration through its Secretary Health,
U.T. Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh and others

....Petitioners

V/s

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench
and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 30.01.2018 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, whereby directions were issued to the official respondents to expedite the matter and take a final call whereby grievance of the applicants (private respondents herein) had to be ventilated keeping in view the law laid down by the Apex Court in *Suraj Bhan Meena vs. State of Rajasthan (2011) 1 SCC 467*.

It was noticed that the respondents have already sought clarification from the Personal Department, U.T. Chandigarh as per the affidavit filed by the Director Health and Family Welfare.

Keeping in view the above, we are of the considered opinion that it is an innocuous order as such, and it is for the U.T. Administration to take a

decision, either way, as before the Tribunal the stand was that the matter was under consideration.

In such circumstances, we dismiss the present writ petition and direct the U.T. Administration to take a decision on the order passed by the Tribunal in accordance with law.

Needful be done within a period of two months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that we have not commented on the merits of the case and it is for the applicants to take a call in accordance with law.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 17, 2022
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No