

COCP-1654-2022

Date of Decision :09.09.2022

GURJEET SINGH GOVT. CONTRACTOR

...Petitioner

Versus

VIVEK PARTAP SINGH, IAS AND ORS.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. MJS Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.3

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 06.07.2022 in CWP No.14087 of 2022 in case titled as Gurjeet Singh vs. State of Punjab and others.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.14087 of 2022 was disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation dated 05.05.2022 filed by the petitioner in accordance with law, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if the claim of the petitioner was accepted, then payment be released to the petitioner forthwith and in case the claim was not accepted, then copy of decision be supplied to the petitioner.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 has filed reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Bharatvir Singh, E.O. Nagar

Panchayat Mehraj, District Bathinda stating therein that vide speaking

order dated 30.08.2022, total amount payable to the petitioner works out to Rs.11,75,148 and that the same would be paid by respondent No.3 to the petitioner in three installments i.e. 1st installment of Rs.3,92,000/- which has already been paid to the petitioner, 2nd installment of Rs.3,92,000/- to be paid on 20.10.2022 and the 3rd installment of Rs.3,91,148/- to be paid on 20.11.2022. The reply is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in view of payment of 1st installment besides dates of payment of the 2nd and 3rd installment as promised by the respondent, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to move an application for revival of the instant petition in case of non release of the 2nd and 3rd installment as per assurance held out by the respondent as also to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to claim interest on the delayed release of payment.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.09.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No