

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225 (02 cases)

(1) CWP-20602-2017

Manjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

(2) CWP-20746-2017

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Date of Decision :21.11.2022

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Monika Beriwal, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In these petitions, the grievance of the petitioners is that they are not being mutually transferred with the employees, who have already consented for the same hence, a direction be issued to the respondents to allow the mutual transfer of the petitioners as requested by them.

Learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the reply filed, the employees with whom the petitioners were seeking mutual transfer

have already been retired from service and, therefore, claim of the petitioners for mutual transfer does not survive any further.

Reply was filed in the February, 2018, which has gone un rebutted.

Keeping in view the fact that the employees with whom the petitioners were seeking mutual transfer, have already been retired from service, no relief can be granted to the petitioners. However, liberty is given to the petitioners to approach the respondents in case their case for transfer is covered under the transfer policy, which is already in operation, due consideration will be given by the respondents to the said request of the petitioners.

Both the writ petitions stand disposed off in above terms.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

November 21, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No