

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(218)

CWP-24064-2016

Date of Decision : September 27, 2022

Tarsem Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate, for
Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that his retiral benefits are not being released by the respondents despite the fact that he has already retired on 31.01.2013.

After notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply wherein, it has been mentioned that the petitioner was issued a charge-sheet on the date of his retirement on 31.01.2013 and another charge-sheet was issued on 30.12.2013, which charge-sheets have already been finalized and a sum of Rs.5,06,320/- was found recoverable from the petitioner, which has been recovered and the remaining pensionary benefits have already been released to the petitioner including the gratuity and the encashment of 287

days of earned leave.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further but liberty be given to the petitioner that in case any of his grievance still needs to be redressed, he can raise the same with the authorities concerned by filing appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

September 27, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No