

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35645-2020

Date of decision: 18.05.2022

SATNAM SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: None the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Neither on the previous dates, the validly engaged counsel by the petitioner recorded his appearance, nor today any appearance on behalf of the petitioner is made by his validly engaged counsel.
2. Consequently, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.
3. But leaving liberty reserved to the petitioner to re-access the appropriate remedy for seeking the release on Superdari, of the seized crime vehicle. If an order declining relief to the petitioner, is made, by the learned trial Judge concerned, thereupon she is at liberty to access appropriate remedies, but in accordance with law.

18.05.2022

ithlesh

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**