

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CWP No.20354 of 2017

Date of Decision : 16.11.2022

Chitwent Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Raghubir Tejpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the question of law raised on the similar facts, has already been allowed by this Court while passing order in CWP No.20339 of 2011, titled as **Usha Rani Vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided on 20.09.2022 and hence, the present petition be also allowed in the same terms and conditions.

Learned State counsel after going through the judgment passed in CWP No.20339 of 2011 is unable to distinguish the case of the petitioner with that of **Usha Rani's case (supra)**.

Learned counsel for the respondents concedes that the question of law raised in the present petition is covered by the decision passed in CWP No.20339 of 2011.

That being so, the present petition is also disposed of in the same terms and conditions.

November 16, 2022

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

jt

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No