

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-36674-2022

Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous, as the petitioner stands arrested.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

12.09.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**